

## HISTORIC BUILDING COMMITTEE

Liberation Room  
27 January 2021  
9:00 am

**These Minutes are draft until confirmed by the Chairman**

<b>Present:</b>	Hon Dr Barry Elsby, MLA	Chair
	Hon Mark Pollard	MP
	Roxanne King-Clark	RKC
	Sally Blake	SB
	Andrea Barlow	AB

<b>In Attendance:</b>	Lee Kenebel (Head of Planning and Building Services)	HPBS
	Mary Smith (Planning Officer)	PO

**Secretary:** Joshua Sanchez

**Public and Press in attendance: 5**

### PART 1 Open Agenda

1.	<b><u>Apologies for absence:</u></b>  Mr B Brunton-Goss, Mr M Gilbert, Mr J Pompert	
2.	<b><u>Declarations of Interest</u></b>  RKC - Item 6.2	
3.	<b><u>Confirmation of the minutes of the previous meeting</u></b>  Minutes from previous meeting were confirmed.	
4.	<b><u>Actions arising from previous meeting</u></b>  <b>Keppel Island</b> On-going difficulties due to lack of contact with the owner and as finance is needed to undertake any repairs/restoration.  <b>AB</b> – Visited recently and is concerned with the poor condition of the buildings.  <b>MP</b> – Need to explore the options to move forward within the law. If access to Keppel was granted what would be the next step in order to restore the buildings, issues with maintaining listed buildings have been a problem for many years.	

	<p><b>HPBS</b> – Confirmed he has email consent from the owner to visit and assess the situation.</p> <p><b>AB</b> – Happy to approach the owner as FIMNT but they have no money to undertake any restoration works and the owner has indicated that he would not finance them.</p> <p><b>PO</b> – Referred to sections 100 and 101 of the Planning Ordinance which could be considered, to prevent collapse rather than fully restore.</p> <p><b>HPBS</b> – Need to check action priorities for historic buildings, these sections have not been used before.</p> <p><b>SB</b> - When health and safety is involved surely listed building owners realise how much damage can result to the building and community.</p> <p><b>Chair</b> - Asked MP to be part of a working party to liaise with Attorney General regarding the best way forward.</p> <p><b>Historic Buildings Register</b></p> <p><b>PO/AB</b> - Meeting to be set up with Tourist Board to look at if anything is needed to provide additional information on historic features, not just to say where they are.</p> <p><b>Conservation Store</b></p> <p><b>SB</b> – Nothing has happened since the last meeting.</p> <p><b>AB</b> – The FIMNT can sort through, catalogue and photograph. The best way forward to ensure re-use of items can then be worked out.</p> <p><b>Conservation Area</b></p> <p><b>SB</b> – No response was given following the last meeting.</p> <p><b>PO</b> – This can be taken forward as part of Item 5 (to follow).</p>	
5.	<p><b><u>Consideration of a review of heritage matters</u></b></p> <p><b>PO</b> – Overview of matters raised in the main papers, issues to consider given.</p> <p><b>MP</b> – Concern that there is a lack of ownership of heritage issues, such as the lighthouse, and questioned who should be responsible for moving its preservation forward.</p> <p><b>AB</b> – The FIMNT want to help with the best way to ensure protection of historic items. However, they have limited resources at present and may need FIG help to expand and grow, such as to</p>	

	<p>take on the lighthouse. They would like to have more responsibility and to do this, possibly in a partnership.</p> <p><b>All</b> – Discussion of what experts are available, for example for advice and for specific works to be undertaken.</p> <p><b>HPBS</b> – Advised that it is the responsibility of owners to maintain their own properties.</p> <p><b>PO</b> – To take the review forward with a small task group including MP and AB.</p>			
<b>6.</b>	<b><u>Consideration of planning applications</u></b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Site</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Applicant</b>
6.1	124.20.P	Government House	Decking to front/north elevation (5.8m by 3m area)	The Governor
<p><b>PO</b> - Introduced the application.</p> <p><b>MP</b> - Queried whether it will be seen by the members of the public from the public road.</p> <p><b>PO</b> - Stated that the decking will have very limited visibility.</p> <p>No objections raised.</p>				
<b>Item</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Site</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Applicant</b>
6.2	006.21.P	Government House	Flue to standalone boiler house	FIG
<p><b>PO</b> - Introduced the application.</p> <p><b>SB</b> – Was not happy with the proposed flue and questioned if it could be altered.</p> <p><b>Doug Clark</b> (Operations Manager of PWD, the applicant) - Explained the need for the current proposal and its height.</p> <p><b>HPBS</b> – Very limited visibility from the street, not harmful.</p> <p><b>Chair and MP</b> - concluded that there would be minimal impact.</p> <p>No objections raised.</p>				
<b>Item</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Site</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Applicant</b>
6.3	129.20.PB	4 to 10 Ross Road (Police Cottages)	New roofing of whole terrace plus renovation, demolition of southern porch and shed (with chimney) and erection of replacement southern porch	FIG

		and shed for no. 10	
<b>PO-</b> Introduced the application.  Members of the committee had no concerns regarding development following a discussion regarding the condition of the rear outbuilding, which is very poor.  No objections raised.			
<b>7.</b>	<b><u>Consideration of applications for historic buildings grants</u></b>		
<b>7.1</b>	German Camp, Callaghan Road, Stanley		
<b>PO -</b> Introduced the application. There is an error in the figures given on the agenda – the total requested is £834.70 (£234.70 for materials).  The grant was agreed with the corrected figure subject to the condition given in the agenda.			
<b>8.</b>	<b><u>Terms of Reference for Historic Building Committee</u></b>  <b>PO</b> – Introduced the ToR including the length of terms for Lay Members.  <b>Members</b> – Requested that the ToR include the requirement to meet a minimum of four times a year. Agreed that this would be put forward for inclusion.  <b>SB</b> – Confirmed that she would be retiring from HBC.  <b>Chair</b> – Thanked SB for her extremely important contribution over the years. The PO was also asked to write to Fiona Wallace to thank her for her previous service.		
<b>9.</b>	<b><u>Date of next meeting</u></b>  To be confirmed.		
<b>10.</b>	<b><u>Exclusion of the press and public:</u></b>  The Chairman moved as follows:  “I move that the press and public be now excluded on the ground that the next items of business to be considered are likely to disclose exempt information under paragraph 7 and the headings of Schedule 3 of the Committee (Public Access) Ordinance 2012.”  The press and public left at 10:00		